Supporting Information

Legends to supplementary figures

Figure S1. Neutralization of HCV infectivity with SR-BI-specific antibodies. Huh7.5MAVS-gfpNLS cells (1) were seeded onto 24-well dishes one day prior to infection. Cells were preincubated either with an SR-BI-specific antibody or the corresponding isotype-matched control at a 1:20 dilution for 1 h at 37° C. Subsequently cells were infected with constant amounts of each virus fraction and 24 h later cells were fixed with 2 % PFA and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Infection was scored by nuclear translocation of the GFP-MAVS-NLS reporter as a result of proteolytic cleavage by the NS3/4A protease (2). For each datapoint 250 - 500 cells from at least 2 different micrographs were counted. (A) Percentage of HCV-positive cells reflecting infectivity contained in each fraction. (B) SR-BI specific neutralization as determined by normalization to the isotype-matched control. Note that Jc1E2^{Flag} is more sensitive to neutralization with this antibody.

Figure S2. Molecular lipid species distributions of PC (A), PE (B), SM (C) and CE (D) in HCV particles. Lipids from highly purified Jc1E2^{Flag} particles were extracted and subjected to quantitative lipid analysis by nano-ESI-MS/MS. aPC, acyl-linked phosphatidylcholines; ePC, ether-linked phosphatidylcholines; PE, phosphatidylethanolamines; SM, sphingomyelins; CE, cholesteryl esters.

Figure S3. Comparison of lipid composition of Huh7.5 cells and purified Jc1E2^{Flag} particles. Lipids from Huh7.5 cells and highly purified Jc1E2^{Flag} particles were extracted and subjected to quantitative lipid analysis by nano-ESI-MS/MS. (A) Detection of acyl-linked phosphatidylcholine (aPC) and sphingomyelin (SM) species in Huh7.5 cells (upper panel) and viral particles (lower panel) (precursor ion scans of m/z 184). (B) Detection of cholesteryl ester (CE) species in Huh7.5 cells (upper panel) and viral particles (lower panel) (precursor ion scans of m/z 369). Major lipid species are annotated giving number of C atoms: number of double bonds in fatty acids. IS, internal standard; Mass spectra of virus preparations (identical to the ones in Fig. 5) are presented for ease of comparison.

Figure S4. Morphological analysis of gradient-purified Jc1 particles by negative staining and transmission electron microscopy. (A) Schematic representation of Jc1 virus production and purification. Huh7.5 cells were transfected with the Jc1 genome or plain PBS (mock). Culture supernatants were concentrated by ultrafiltration and virus particles were sedimented through a 10% Optiprep phase onto an 80 % cushion (for details see materials and methods). Concentrated samples collected at the interphase were layered onto a pre-formed Optiprep step gradient. After ultracentrifugation 500 ul fractions were collected from the top of the gradient and used for analyses. (B) Jc1 containing fractions were used for immunolabeling with a rabbit polyclonal E2-specific antibody (detected with 10 nm gold; panel a-f), with a rabbit apoE-specific monoclonal antibody (detected with 10 nm gold; panel g-i) or with both antibodies (E2 10 nm, apoE 15 nm, panel j-l). Samples were negatively stained with uranyl acetate and examined with a Zeiss EM-10 microscope. (C) Samples derived from mock-transfected cells were processed and analyzed in parallel using exactly the same procedure. Note that structures contained in these preparations did not react with the E2-specific antibody, but with anti-apoE, arguing that many of these structures correspond to lipoprotein particles. (D-F) Statistics were performed by counting structures and immunogold particles bound to each structure on at least 2 different EM grids of \geq 2 preparations each. (D) Mean diameters of all counted structures as well as of structures that were labeled either with the E2- or apoE-specific antibody or with both antibodies (Double (+)). (E) Relative proportion of structures that were labeled with the J6E2- or the apoE-specific antibody or with both antibodies ((% Double (+)). (F) Labeling background of the E2- or the apoE-specific antibody. Values refer to the number of gold particles per μ m². Error bars in panels (D-F) indicate the standard deviation (n = 121).

Figure S5. Comparison of negative staining procedures used for structure preservation, contrast and antigenicity of HCV particles. Jc1E2^{Flag} particles were prepared and purified as

specified in Fig. 3 and processed for negative staining. Several combinations of uranyl acetate (UA), methylcellulose (MC) and osmium tetroxide (OsO4) fixation and sample preparation methods were assessed for their ability to preserve structure and antigenicity of Jc1E2^{Flag} particles. Of note, enhanced structure preservation as achieved by OsO4 reduced immunolabeling efficiency. MC increased preservation but reduced the contrast. Scale bars represent 50 nm.

Supplementary Table S1. Antibodies used in this study. For details, see Materials and Methods.

Antibody specificity (name)	Species	Specificity	Neutralization ^a	Capture ^b	Immuno-EM ^c	Source
mouse Fc	rabbit	рс	n.d.	n.d.	1:150	Dako Cytomation, Hamburg, Germany
apoAI (AB740)	goat	рс	1:40	1:50	n.d.	Millipore, Schwalbach, Germany
apoB (178467)	goat	рс	n.d.	1:50	n.d.	Merck (Calbiochem), Darmstadt, Germany
apoB (AB20737)	rabbit	рс	1:40	n.d.	1:5	AbCam, Cambridge, UK
apoB (AB742)	goat	рс	n.d.	1:50	n.d.	Millipore (Chemicon), Schwalbach, Germany
apoCI (AB79867)	rabbit	рс	n.d.	1:50	n.d.	AbCam, Cambridge, UK
ароЕ	mouse	mc	1:40	n.d.	n.d.	BD Transduction, Heidelberg, Germany
apoE (178479)	goat	рс	1:40 or 2 μg/ml	n.d.	n.d.	Merck (Calbiochem), Darmstadt, Germany
apoE (AB52607)	rabbit	mc	n.d.	n.d.	1:10	AbCam, Cambridge, UK
apoE (AB947)	goat	рс	n.d.	1:50	n.d.	Millipore (Chemicon), Schwalbach, Germany
apoE3 (61086)	mouse	mc	1:40	1:50	n.d.	Progen, Heidelberg, Germany
SR-BI	goat	рс	1:20	n.d.	n.d.	kindly provided by T. Baumert, University of Strasbourg, France, (3)
Core (C830)	rabbit	рс	n.d.	n.d.	1:10	(4)
Dengue-E (3H5-1)	mouse	mc	1:40	1:50	n.d.	ATCC, HB-46, LGC Standards, Wesel, Germany
E2 (1:7)	human	me	n.d.	1:50	1:10	kindly provided by Mats Persson, Karolinska Institute Stockholm, Sweden, (5)
E2 (AP33)	mouse	mc	1:40	1:50	1:5	kindly provided by A. Patel, Glasgow university, U.K., (6)
E2 (J6E2)	rabbit	рс	1:40 or 10 μg/ml	1:50	1:10	Molecular Virology, University of Heidelberg
Flag (F7425)	rabbit	рс	1:40	n.d.	1:50	Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany
Flag (F3165) M2	mouse	mc	1:40	n.d.	n.d.	Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany
NS3 (2E3)	mouse	mc	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	generated in co-operation with H. Tang, Florida State University, USA, (7)
NS5A (9E10)	mouse	mc	n.d.	n.d.	1:10	kindly provided by C.M. Rice, Rockefeller university, New York, (8)

pc, polyclonal antiserum; mc, monoclonal antibody nd, not determined

^a antibody dilution used for neutralization assays (Fig. 4)

^b antibody dilution used to coat protein G beads for virus capture assay (Fig. 1D)

^c antibody dilution used for immuno electron microscopy (Fig. 6, Fig. S3 and S4)

Supplementary Table S2. Molecule numbers of lipid species per viral RNA-containing $Jc1E2^{Flag}$ particle.

	Lipid molecules per viral RNA (Average ± SEM, n = 2)
aPC	$42,042 \pm 559$
ePC	$6,886 \pm 1,452$
SM	$26,872 \pm 3,600$
PE	$6,399 \pm 118$
PS	732 ± 311
ΡΙ	$2,472 \pm 829$
PG	$1,022 \pm 400$
Chol	$51,267 \pm 15,665$
CE	$109,896 \pm 14,919$
TAG	not determined
Total	$247,589 \pm 37,231$

Lipids were extracted and analyzed for containing lipid species as desribed in Materials and Methods. Values are expressed as mol percentage of a given lipid to total lipids quantified.

Mean values and standard error of the means (SEM) are given.

Supplementary references

- 1. Jones, C. T., Catanese, M. T., Law, L. M., Khetani, S. R., Syder, A. J., Ploss, A., Oh, T. S., Schoggins, J. W., MacDonald, M. R., Bhatia, S. N., and Rice, C. M. (2010) *Nat Biotechnol* 28, 167-171
- 2. Meylan, E., Curran, J., Hofmann, K., Moradpour, D., Binder, M., Bartenschlager, R., and Tschopp, J. (2005) *Nature* **437**, 1167-1172
- 3. Zeisel, M. B., Koutsoudakis, G., Schnober, E. K., Haberstroh, A., Blum, H. E., Cosset, F. L., Wakita, T., Jaeck, D., Doffoel, M., Royer, C., Soulier, E., Schvoerer, E., Schuster, C., Stoll-Keller, F., Bartenschlager, R., Pietschmann, T., Barth, H., and Baumert, T. F. (2007) *Hepatology* **46**, 1722-1731
- 4. Hussy, P., Langen, H., Mous, J., and Jacobsen, H. (1996) Virology 224, 93-104
- 5. Johansson, D. X., Voisset, C., Tarr, A. W., Aung, M., Ball, J. K., Dubuisson, J., and Persson, M. A. (2007) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 16269-16274
- 6. Owsianka, A., Clayton, R. F., Loomis-Price, L. D., McKeating, J. A., and Patel, A. H. (2001) *J Gen Virol* **82**, 1877-1883
- 7. Kaul, A., Stauffer, S., Berger, C., Pertel, T., Schmitt, J., Kallis, S., Zayas, M., Lohmann, V., Luban, J., and Bartenschlager, R. (2009) *PLoS Pathog* 5, e1000546
- 8. Lindenbach, B. D., Evans, M. J., Syder, A. J., Wolk, B., Tellinghuisen, T. L., Liu, C. C., Maruyama, T., Hynes, R. O., Burton, D. R., McKeating, J. A., and Rice, C. M. (2005) *Science* **309**, 623-626









